(3) (1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR C.G.

IN THE MATTER OF:- W.P.No. 972/2002

HAJI ABDUL HAMEED, AGED 59YRS,
S/O SHRI ABDUL GAFFAR,
R/O SHUKLA CHOWK ,
OPPOSITE AJEET SUNAR,
RAIPUR C.G. **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. M.P.STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
THROUGH THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
HEAD OFFICE HABIBGANJ
BHOPAL[M.P.]

2.DIVISIONAL MANAGER,
M.P.STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPO.,
AMANAKA, RAIPUR [C.G.].

3.THE CHIEF ACCOUNT OFFICER,
M.P.S.R.T.C. HEAD OFFICE HABIBGANJ,
BHOPAL [M.P.] **RESPONDENTS**

P. R. No. 9-3-02
Presented by Shri Pradeep Pradeep
dated 9.3.02

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND ANY OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION AS DEEM FIT.:—

(25)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 977 of **सन्** 2002

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	16.09.2002 Shri Pradeep Saxena, counsel for the petitioner. Since Shri Dhirendra Mishra who usually appear for the M.P.State Road Transport Corporation being present in the Court, is asked to take notice on behalf of M.P.S.R.T.C. Heard. In view of the limited nature of the controversy raised in the writ petition, no useful purpose will be served in issuing notice and in keeping the writ petition pending as the same can be disposed of at the admission state. The petitioner was an employee of the M.P.S.R.T.C. and after holding various posts, retired from the service of the Corporation on 30.6.2001. His grievance is that though the amount payable to him towards gratuity has been worked out, the same has not been released in his favour for which he is facing hardship. In the above view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to take prompt steps in paying the gratuity to the petitioner, as is due admissible to the petitioner, at an early date preferably within a period of 4 weeks from the date of communication/service of the order. If that is not done, the	

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	petitioner may approach the appropriate authority under Section 8 of the Payment of the Gratuity Act, 1972 and in case such application is made, the authority under the said Act will do well to take prompt and effect steps for recovery of the amount as is due admissible to the petitioner under the said Act. With the aforesaid direction, the petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	**Sd/-** **P. C. Naik** **Judge**